

Appl. No. 09/822,449
Supplemental Summary of Interview
Conducted on 16 March 2005

Page 1 of 2

**IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Appl. No. : 09/822,449
Applicant(s) : ZONDAG, et al.
Filed : March 30, 2001
TC/A.U. : 2683
Examiner : CUMMING, William D.
Atty. Docket : NL-000192

Title: COMMUNICATION SYSTEM AND DEVICE

**CERTIFICATE OF
TRANSMISSION**

I certify that this paper is
being transmitted by fac-
simile to the U.S. Patent
and Trademark Office at
(703) 872-9306

on:

27 April 2005By: 

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

APR 27 2005

Supplemental Summary of Interview

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

We received an "Interview Summary" mailed on 24 March 2005. We believe this relates to a telephone conversation the undersigned had with Examiner Cumming on 16 March 2005. In this telephone conversation no matters of substance were discussed regarding patentability of any of the claims. The telephone conversation discussed procedural matters only. In this telephone conversation the undersigned attempted to explain to Examiner Cumming why the Notice of Non-Responsive Amendment mailed on 10 March 2005 was wrongly issued. Examiner Cumming disagreed.

Subsequently, on the same day, the undersigned discussed this matter with Supervisory Patent Examiner Edward Urban, who was the supervisory patent examiner for this case in the absence of Supervisory Patent Examiner William Trost. The substance of this interview with Supervisory Patent Examiner Urban is contained in the **Memorandum of Examiner Interview** previously filed by the undersigned on 16 March 2005, which is wrongly listed on the USPTO "PAIR" web site as "Letter Requesting Interview with Examiner."

As explained previously in the aforementioned **Memorandum of Examiner Interview**, Supervisory Patent Examiner Urban agreed with the undersigned that:

1. The Notice of Non-Responsive Amendment mailed on 10 March 2005 was wrongly issued and will be withdrawn; and

Supplemental Summary of Interview

Atty. Docket No. NL-000192

Appl. No. 09/822,449
Supplemental Summary of Interview
Conducted on 16 March 2005

Page 2 of 2

2. The Response filed on 24 November 2004 was fully responsive to the *In re Quayle* action of 28 September 2004, and accordingly there is currently no due date for any action to be taken by applicants.

Please charge Deposit Account No. 14-1270 for any required extension of time for mailing this paper.

Respectfully submitted,



Eric M. Bram
Reg. 37,285
Att'y for Applicant(s)
Philips Intellectual Property
& Standards

P.O. Box 3001
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510-8001
Phone: (914) 333-9635
Fax: (914) 332-0615